**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**LPA No. 1037 of 2002**
**Date of Decision:14.12.2006**

**State of Haryana and others**

**.....Appellants through Mr. A.R.Sidhu, Addl. A.G. Haryana with Mr. Deepak Jindal, AAG Haryana**

**versus**

**Birbal Dass and others**

**.....Respondents Nos. 1 to 15, 17, 18 and 20 to30 through Mr. Rajinder Goyal, Advocate**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIJENDER JAIN, CHIEF JUSTICE**
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.S.NARANG**

1. **Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

*****

**Vijender Jain, Chief Justice (Oral)**

Counsel for the appellants says that the benefit of regularisation of services has been extended to respondents No.1, 10, 12 and 14 vide order dated 30.04.2004. Learned counsel for the respondents also acknowledge that benefit has been given to respondents No. 1,10,12 and 14 by the appellants.

Counsel for the respondents says that respondent No.11 is also similarly situated and he should have also be given the benefit of the order passed by the Appellant-State pursuant to the directions issued by the learned Single Judge.

Learned counsel for the appellant-State says that benefit shall be given to respondent No.11 if he is found to be senior and eligible.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the appellants-State qua regularisation of the services of respondent No.11, it is directed that having regard to the regularisation of the services of other respondents, if respondent No.11 is also found senior and eligible, then State shall treat him in similar fashion as has been done in regard to other respondents.

Appeal stands disposed of accordingly.

**(VIJENDER JAIN)**
**CHIEF JUSTICE**

**(J.S.NARANG)**
**JUDGE**

**14.12.2006**
'ravinder'